

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/772,989	01/31/2001	Hideki Morishima	2369.12210	2633		
5514 75	590 05/19/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
	K CELLA HARPER &	CHANG, A	CHANG, AUDREY Y			
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2872			
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before the	Filing (	of an Ap	peal	<b>Brief</b>			

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/772,989	MORISHIMA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Audrey Y. Chang	2872		

Advisory Action	09/772,989	MORISHIMA ET AL	•				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Audrey Y. Chang	2872	·				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
 THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		<u>-</u>					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must b AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered l	because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be</li> </ul>		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially is	oudding or oimpinying					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		amaliant Amandmant	+ (DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompilant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s).</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>29-31</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		Nation of Amend will m	ant he entered				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a land sufficient reasons why the affida	ivit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allows	ance hecalise.				
See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:		Audrey Y. Chang					
	`	Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2872					

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment adds new features to the claims that require further considerations and searches.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments based on newly proposed amendment that has not been entered are not persuasive to overcome the rejections.